

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

gu)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/856,915                       | 05/30/2001      | Sau Tsien Lim        | 2001-0687A              | 5321            |  |
| 513                              | 7590 07/11/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |  |
| WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. |                 |                      | SHIBRU, HELEN           |                 |  |
| 2033 K STREET N. W.<br>SUITE 800 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021        |                 |                      | 2621                    |                 |  |
|                                  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2000 | 6               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/856,915      | LIM ET AL.   |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| HELEN SHIBRU    | 2621         |  |

| Delote the Filling of all Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit                                       |                          |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | HELEN SHIBRU  | 2621   |                          |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add                             | ress                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|  | a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))   |   | jected claims.                                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | )   | ill be entered and an                          | explanation of           |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | nd sufficient reasons why the affida  | vit or other evidence                          | is necessary and         |  |  |  |  |
| 9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears<br>ory and was not earlier presented.                        | eal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ils to provide a<br>(1). |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered be See continuation Sheet.   |   |  | ince because:            |  |  |  |  |
| 12. INote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. In Other:   |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. Regarding the Applicant argument that the cited reference of Ohishi does not disclose or suggest the demultiplexer and error correction section 1 performs syntax error checking of the compresses video signal Sa and storing resultant syntax error information, Examiner disagrees. Ohishi discloses the demultiplexer and error correction section demultiplexes the data of the input compressed video signal Sa to exteract information that is necessary for error correction (see col. 7 lines 47-56). The information that is necessary for error correction are exteracted. Ohishi further discloses the discrimination information judgment section receives corrected video signal and detects syntax information that is contained in the compressed video signal.

A HARRING TO BE SHOWN THE TO SHOW THE PARTY ENDER THE PARTY EN